UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,084	12/01/2003	Minoru Nishida	NISH3003/REF	NISH3003/REF 1662	
23364 BACON & TH	7590 11/09/2007 OMAS PLI <i>C</i>	EXAMINER			
625 SLATERS	LANE	SIMONE, TIMOTHY F			
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3742		
			(*************************************		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

*				
Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/724,084	NISHIDA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Timothy F. Simone	3742			

·	Timothy F. Simone	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>Claim 10, lines 2-3</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 al		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and	* **	omnliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
<ol> <li>S. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-15,34 and 35.		rill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	,		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	`	Timothy F. Simone Richary Examiner Art Unit: 3742	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)